

From: Matt Thiem <matthiem77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2026 4:47 PM
To: Planning Board
Subject: Site plan for map 80 lot 58

Warning: Unusual sender <matthiem77@gmail.com>

You don't usually receive emails from this address. Make sure you trust this sender before taking any actions.

Hello and thank you for taking the time to read this.

My name is Matthew Thiem and I live at 23 Summer Ave, Windham, ME 04062. I have property that directly abuts the lot asking for the extension. This should not be granted for several reasons.

First and foremost, it's the size of the building itself. Sitting on beach sand and the constant moving water under it at water line, will make the building settle in, way in. The loose sand that permeates through out the aquifer constantly moves with the water. So there is no solid base to put it down on. Not only that but the plans for the use of the aquifers as the buildings primary source would drastically change the level of both Cuffing Pond and Petingale pond. In short terms the building will rip itself apart as it tries to settle in on the very fine grain sand.

And what about the leaching field this structure would require a large drainage system to keep up and that will pollute the local water table. That meaning Chuffing pond and Pettingail pond would be directly affected by the amount of waste this building would issue. Not to mention all of the wells that are pre-existing to the area will go foul or just lose water. My brand new well is 26ft down thru Beach fine sand and is only an inch above the granite slab that is the aquifers. I, being directly abuts, WILL be effected and this is just one of the signs that it's a bad idea.

The lots were intended by the town hall to be a single family dwelling community. The "paper roads" for the site shows exactly that. Build houses and we won't care. Trying a large building here when there are SO MANY other places to put a building of this size is unwise. We have no crisis for seniors living. There are dozens of different places to live. Our state LOST population, not gaid.

This could be done at profitably only if you level and pave the site causing rain not to get to the ponds, pollution of trash and waste make for a very good reason that this is not the place for this.

Last note, this is showing as connected to Anglers Rd. The measurements for the entire property state of getting and out via a single 10ft wide drive for 48units? Is the fire code not 15ft for larger building? How would say a fire happens. There is only

one point of egressing into and out of the lot and it's the same place the fire trucks would need. So call me crazy but a ten foot entry and exit as the singular place of entrance will cause serious problems. It may cost those seniors their very lives, if first responders are unable to access the property. And remember that at capacity it's a minimum of 48 parking places with cars? Now imagine what will happen with the ground water? I wouldn't want to drink water that has waste in it and being that the aquifers spread the waste will reach everyone. Once the contaminated water hits the granite below up, it will spread out to like a ebola cake. I don't want that to happen, I want to see the site used appropriately so the no harm comes to our little community on the aquifers. We lost 7 ft of water last summer from the already existing wells and lack of rain.

I am deeply confused about this as it was voted down but planning committee last year when they wanted to zone it heavy commercial use. That was stopped and now they are clearly looking for a run around. This should not be permitted to happen. How about a nice dog park or other uses of the property that won't effect the surrounding area. There's no way that doing this will not affect everyone else.

Mr. Thiem