

Stephen J. Puleo

From: Mark T. Arienti
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2023 11:46 AM
To: Amanda L. Lessard; Stephen J. Puleo
Subject: RE: Roosevelt Apartments Windham
Attachments: Fuji treatment System.pdf

Amanda,

I have reviewed the information submitted by Erik Heyland for the Roosevelt Trail Apartments site plan application. They are proposing to use FujiClean CEN21 advanced treatment units to treat the wastewater from the proposed apartment building. The general approval letter from the Maine DHHS concluded that the CEN Series produces effluent with combined BOD5 and TSS levels of 10 mg/l or less but doesn't provide a specific performance number for nitrogen, which is the number that we are concerned with since our ordinance incorporates the 10 mg/l nitrate drinking water standard at the property line. However, manufacturer documentation for the FujiClean CEN21 units does indicate that it treats total nitrogen to 10 mg/l or less so contingent on the applicant providing the documentation from DHHS that they approve the change I am satisfied that there will not be a nitrate plume exceeding 10 mg/l reaching the property boundary.

Mark

From: Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2023 9:34 AM
To: Stephen J. Puleo <sjpuleo@windhammaine.us>; Mark T. Arienti <mtarienti@windhammaine.us>
Subject: FW: Roosevelt Apartments Windham

From: Erik Heyland <eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com>
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2023 3:48 PM
To: Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>
Cc: 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>
Subject: Roosevelt Apartments Windham

Amanda

I've attached revised plans to the wastewater pretreatment unit replacing the Septitech with FujiClean. I spoke to DHHS today and emailed a request to change the approval to FujiClean which I am expecting tomorrow. Can you please review. Once I have the letter I will make a complete package for submission
How many hard copies of the plans do you need?
Thanks

Erik Heyland



PO Box 407
Moody, ME 04054
eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com
207-451-8288

From: Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2023 3:02 PM

To: Erik Heyland <ehayland@heylanddevelopment.com>; Robert J. Burns <rjburns@windhammaine.us>; Tom H. Bartell <thbartell@windhammaine.us>

Cc: 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>; Stephen J. Puleo <sjpuleo@windhammaine.us>; Barry A. Tibbetts <batibbetts@windhammaine.us>

Subject: {Disarmed} RE: {Disarmed} RE: Roosevelt

Erik,

Yes, the Planning Board has approved projects using the FujiClean pretreatment system. In those instances the applicant still submitted a hydrogeological analysis where the nitrate analysis showed compliance with the Town's standard to meet the 10 mg/L nitrogen at the property line. So you would still require the Planning Board to waive the submission requirement of Section [120-811B\(2\)\(c\)](#). Staff would support this waiver request based on our experience with the groundwater impact analysis with this pretreatment system for other projects in Town and it would be reasonable for the Board to find that the information that would have been provided in a groundwater impact analysis is not required to determine compliance with the standards and criteria of the land use ordinance.

Please submit a written request in accordance with [Section 120-808A](#) (we don't need a form, just essentially what you've written below with the supporting DHHS approval letters), a revised plan for the engineering subsurface wastewater system/HHE 200 and we'll get you on the agenda for the next available meeting, which will be June 12. We would also add another condition of approval for DHHS approval of the revised the engineered system. My understanding from the Code Enforcement Director is that DHHS typically turns those around in a few days, so it would streamline things on our part if you could also obtain that DHHS approval before the Planning Board meeting instead of having another condition of approval that we need to keep tabs on.

Thanks,
Amanda

From: Erik Heyland <ehayland@heylanddevelopment.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2023 8:43 AM

To: Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>; Robert J. Burns <rjburns@windhammaine.us>; Tom H. Bartell <thbartell@windhammaine.us>

Cc: 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>; Stephen J. Puleo <sjpuleo@windhammaine.us>; Barry A. Tibbetts <batibbetts@windhammaine.us>

Subject: RE: {Disarmed} RE: Roosevelt

Amanda

We are considering an alternative wastewater pretreatment system called FujiClean to address the nitrogen loading performance. The FujiClean performance would provide nitrogen reduction at the discharge of the unit which would meet the required levels and eliminate the need for a waiver request. My understanding is that this system has recently been approved in town and is currently under construction on another project. The FujiClean system is approved by DHHS (see attached letter from DHHS). This treatment unit would simply replace the existing Septitech system. Given the performance of this system there is no need for a waiver request for groundwater protection. We would like to be put on the agenda for the next meeting for a final vote. Let me know if there is anything else you need for your review. Thank you

Erik Heyland



PO Box 407
Moody, ME 04054
eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com
207-451-8288

From: Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2023 1:58 PM
To: Robert J. Burns <rjburns@windhammaine.us>; Erik Heyland <eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com>; Tom H. Bartell <thbartell@windhammaine.us>
Cc: 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>; Stephen J. Puleo <sjpuleo@windhammaine.us>; Barry A. Tibbetts <batibbetts@windhammaine.us>
Subject: {Disarmed} RE: Roosevelt

Erik,
There are no recent applications that were granted a waiver from the Site Plan Review groundwater protection performance standard of Section 120-812J as that standard has limited applicability to proposed developments that involve on-site water supply or a sewage disposal system with a capacity of 2,000 gallons per day or greater. There are few commercial developments in Windham that are not served by public water or propose a high capacity septic system. Bob sent you the groundwater impact analysis of the Badger Run Apartments application, the only other recent site plan application that proposed an engineered septic system and they provided evidence of compliance with the groundwater standard. You are welcome to review any project applications on file at the Town at any time. All Planning Board records are also online and attached to the respective meeting agendas where the project was reviewed at <https://windhamweb.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx>.
MailScanner has detected a possible fraud attempt from "linkprotect.cudasvc.com" claiming to be

The Town Engineer's comments on the waiver in the memo are included in the [April 6, 2023 memo](#) findings under Section 120-812J in the Findings, but are shown in strikethrough text in error. All those same comments were included in the attached March 20, 2023 memo that had been included on the March 27th meeting agenda. The memo was updated with additional information when the meeting was postponed to April 10. The Town Engineer is only one member of staff and as such the staff recommendation under the waiver request section reflects a combined feedback from all staff members. At the meeting I was attempting to reflect the fact that the Town Engineer had researched the water service of abutting properties and was satisfied that they would not be impacted by your proposed septic system.

Amanda

Amanda Lessard, Planning Director
Town of Windham
8 School Road
Windham, ME 04062

office: (207) 894-5900 x 6121
cell: (207) 400-7618
fax: (207) 892-1916

MailScanner has detected a possible fraud attempt from "linkprotect.cudasvc.com" claiming to be www.windhammaine.us

NOTICE: Under Maine's Freedom of Access ("Right to Know") law, documents – including emails – in the possession of public officials about town business are considered public records. This means if anyone asks to see it, we are required to provide it. There are very few exceptions. We welcome citizen comments and want to hear from our constituents, but please keep in mind that what you write in an email is not private and will be made available to any interested party.

From: Robert J. Burns <rjburns@windhammaine.us>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 12:02 PM
To: Erik Heyland <eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com>; Tom H. Bartell <thbartell@windhammaine.us>
Cc: 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>; Amanda L. Lessard <allessard@windhammaine.us>; Stephen J. Puleo <sjpuleo@windhammaine.us>
Subject: RE: Roosevelt

Erik,

I forwarded you an email with attachments a moment ago that show examples of what planning staff is looking for in the way of professional documentation on the nitrogen in groundwater issue. My understanding after discussion with staff is that the request for a waiver from this requirement was the last stumbling block in keeping you from your approval on your development. If a hydrogeologist will certify that the Land Use Ordinance requirements can be met in a manner similar to the examples shown, then you are nearly certainly to gain approval by the Planning Board. That is my understanding from staff.

I have cc'd staff on your email and will discuss your other questions with them and respond.

Thanks,

Robert Burns, PE
Assistant Town Manager | rjburns@windhammaine.us
Town of Windham | Office of the Town Manager
8 School Road | Windham, ME 04062 | **MailScanner has detected a possible fraud attempt from "linkprotect.cudasvc.com" claiming to be www.windhammaine.us**
Ph. 207.892.1907 Ext. 1129 | Fax 207.892-1910

From: Erik Heyland <eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 4:13 PM
To: Robert J. Burns <rjburns@windhammaine.us>; Tom H. Bartell <thbartell@windhammaine.us>
Cc: 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>
Subject: RE: Roosevelt

Hi Bob

Per our conversation last week, have you got any further information from the planning staff to resolve this waiver denial and provide direction. I would like to have my legal counsel come view previous application filings which were granted by the Board in recent years as they relate to the same waiver request of which we were denied. Can you please ask the planning department to cooperate and have this information available for our viewing as soon as possible. Let me know when we can expect this information.

I am also waiting for an explanation as to why the support comment from the engineer review was removed from the last memo and why it was presented incorrectly at the meeting.

Thank you

Erik Heyland



PO Box 407
Moody, ME 04054

From: Erik Heyland <eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2023 3:43 PM
To: 'Robert J. Burns' <rjburns@windhammaine.us>
Cc: 'Tom H. Bartell' <thbartell@windhammaine.us>; 'Gaquin, Daniel' <DOGaquin@mintz.com>; 'Rick Salvo' <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>
Subject: FW: Roosevelt

Bob and Tom

At last night's meeting the Assistant Planner represented to the Board that the Town Engineer did not support the project when in fact he does (see attached March 30 memo). The April 6 memo says that the staff opposes this waiver and removed the support comment from the engineer in this latest memo. I corrected the assistant planner at the meeting when he represented these facts incorrectly but he ignored my response and convinced the Board into believing that the waiver should be denied when he fully understood that the Town Engineer was in support. At our recent meeting with the engineer he told us as well he supported this waiver request. The job of a planner is to present the true facts as they exist and not to present false information when such a critical vote is upon the Board. Unless the assistant planner has the qualifications and expertise in this area, he should not represent himself as a reliable source of information. In fact, the Planner's job is to present projects in an unbiased position and he should have NO opinion, and allow the voting members to arrive at their own conclusion.

At the last meeting in October the Board Chairman was very clear in stating that if there are no wells within 300' then this waiver is routinely granted which is why we provided the supporting information for the waiver request. It is our opinion that the Assistant Planner presented the Board with false information and we would request an explanation and correction be made to account for the true facts of the waiver request.

I look forward to your response.

Thank you

Erik Heyland



PO Box 407
Moody, ME 04054
eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com
207-451-8288

From: Rick Salvo <rsalvo@eaicivil.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2023 2:46 PM
To: eheyland@heylanddevelopment.com
Cc: sjpuleo@windhammaine.us; 'Amanda L. Lessard' <allessard@windhammaine.us>
Subject: Roosevelt

All:

See attached. I am confused as to why it was represented at last evenings hearing that Staff did NOT support he waiver request, when the attached memo clearly indicated that the Town Engineer was in support. See second page of PDF.

Rick

Engineering Alliance, Inc.

Richard A. Salvo, P.E.

194 Central Street

Saugus, MA 01906

(Ph) (781) 231-1349

(Fax)(781) 417-0020

MailScanner has detected a possible fraud attempt from "linkprotect.cudasvc.com" claiming to be
www.eaicivil.com



Please consider the environment before printing this email!

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This message and the documents accompanying this transmission may contain information from the engineering company Engineering Alliance, Inc. which is confidential or privileged information. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone immediately and kindly delete the messages and attachments.