# DM ROMA CONSULTING ENGINEERS

February 6, 2017

Amanda Lessard, Town Planner Town of Windham 8 School Road Windham, ME 04062

Re: Site Plan Application – The Plaza, Phase 2 JAMAR, INC. – Applicant

Dear Amanda:

On behalf of JAMAR, Inc. we have prepared the enclosed Major Site Plan application for the second phase of development at The Plaza located at 881-885 Roosevelt Trail in North Windham. The project includes the construction of a new 4,960 square foot Children's Daycare facility that will accommodate up to 100 children, as well as minor modifications to the Phase 1 retail use project that was approved by the Town as a minor site plan, but has not yet been constructed.

To facilitate utility connection to the public water main, the properties for Phase 1 and Phase 2 will be combined into a single parcel. The building setbacks shown in the plan set reflect the parcels being merged, but the deed transaction has not been completed at the time of this application.

Minor changes to the approved Phase 1 project have been proposed to better align the driveway entrance to the rear land, and also relocate the sidewalk to accommodate the on-street parking proposed in front of the daycare building.

The project requires a Traffic Movement Permit from the Maine Department of Transportation and a Stormwater Permit from the Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Both permits are currently under review.

Upon your review of the enclosed information please contact me if you have any questions or if you require any additional information.

Sincerely,

DM ROMA CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Dustin M Roma

Dustin M. Roma, P.E. President

Project Name: THE PLAZA

Tax Map: 18 Lot: 19-A & 20

Estimated square footage of building(s): 4,960 SF CHILDREN'S DAYCARE

If no buildings proposed, estimated square footage of total development/disturbance:

#### **Contact Information**

#### 1. Applicant

Name:JAMAR, INCMailing Address:71 STUART SHORES ROAD, STANDISH, ME 04084Telephone:Fax:E-mail:

#### 2. <u>Record owner of property</u>

X (Check here if same as applicant) Name: Mailing Address: Telephone: Fax: E-mail:

 <u>Contact Person/Agent</u> (if completed and signed by applicant's agent, provide written documentation of authority to act on behalf of applicant) Name: DUSTIN ROMA

Company Name: DM ROMA CONSULTING ENGINEERS Mailing Address: 59 HARVEST HILL RD, WINDHAM, ME 04062 Telephone: 310 - 0506 Fax: E-mail: DUSTIN@DMROMA.COM

I certify all the information in this application form and accompanying materials is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Dustin M Roma 2-6-2017

Signature

Date

Applicant					
Ske	tch Plan - Minor & Major Site Plan: Submission Requirements		Staff		
a.	Complete Sketch Plan Application form	Х			
b.	Project Narrative	Х			
	conditions of the site	Х			
	proposed use	Х			
	constraints/opportunities of site	Х			
	identify if any of the following will be completed as part of the Final Plan	Х			
	traffic study	Х			
	utility study	Х			
	market study	N/A			
c.	Name, address, phone for record owner and applicant	Х			
d.	Names and addresses of all consultants working on the project	Х			
e.	Evidence of right, title, or interest in the property	Х			
f.	Evidence of payment of Sketch Plan fees and escrow deposit	Х			
g.	Any anticipated waiver requests (Section 808)				
U	Waivers from Submission Criteria in Section 811 of the Land Use Ordinance.				
	If yes, submit letter with the waivers being requested, along with				
	reasons for each waiver request.				
	Waivers from Subdivision Performance Standards in Section 812 of the Land				
	Use Ordinance.				
	If yes, submit letter with the waivers being requested, along with a				
	completed "Performance and Design Standards Waiver Request" form.				
	Submit initialed form regarding additional fees, from applicant intro packet				
h.	Plan Requirements				
	Please note: the Sketch Plan does not need to be surveyed. However, if it is				
	surveyed, please refer to the GIS requirements for Final Plan review. It may	X			
	be in the applicants interest to obtain the required GIS data while the				
	surveyor is on site.				
1	Name of subdivision, north arrow, date and scale (not more than 100 ft: 1in)	X			
2	Boundary of the parcel	Х			
3	Relationship of the site to the surrounding area	X			
4	I opography of the site at an appropriate contour interval (10' contours				
	Approximate size and location of natural features of the site including	x			
_	wetlands, streams, ponds, floodplains, groundwater aguifers, significant				
5	wildlife habitats and fisheries, or other important natural features. If none, so				
	state.				
6	Existing buildings, structures, or other improvements on the site	Х			
7	Existing restrictions or easements on the site. If none, so state.	Х			
8	Approximate location and size of existing utilities or improvements servicing	x			
a	Class D modium intensity soil survey				
1	Class D medium mensity son survey	х			
0	Location and size of proposed building, structures, access drives, parking areas, and other development features.				

February 2, 2017

## Re: Agent Authorization

I authorize Dustin Roma, DM Roma Consulting Engineers to act as my agent to apply for land use permits associated with the development of my property located at 875 Roosevelt Trail, 881 Roosevelt Trail and 885 Roosevelt Trail in Windham, Maine.

Sincerely,

Han

Martin A Lippman

JAMAR, INC



Hydrologic Soil Group—Cumberland County and Part of Oxford County, Maine





## Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic Soil Group— Summary by Map Unit — Cumberland County and Part of Oxford County, Maine (ME005)						
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
HIB	Hinckley loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	А	6.4	60.4%		
HIC	Hinckley loamy sand, 8 to 15 percent slopes	A	2.2	20.9%		
Sp	Sebago mucky peat	A/D	2.0	18.7%		
Totals for Area of Intere	est	10.6	100.0%			

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher





November 15, 2016

Martin Lippman 71 Stuart Shores Rd Standish, ME 04084

Re: 881-885 Roosevelt Trail, WI Ability to Serve with PWD Water

Dear Mr. Lippman:

The Portland Water District has received your request for an Ability to Serve Determination for the noted site submitted on October 25, 2016. Based on the information provided, we can confirm that the District will be able to serve the proposed project as further described in this letter. **Please note that this letter does not constitute approval of this project from the District. Review and approval of final plans is required.** 

### Conditions of Service

The following conditions of service apply:

- The existing 8-inch service to the site can be used in the following two configurations:
  - A domestic service tapped off from the 8-inch fire line with separate control valves located in the public right of way. Domestic meter located in a meter vault located on private property within 10-20 feet of the property line on Roosevelt Trail.
  - An 8" combined fireline service in a fireline meter vault located on private property within 10-20 feet of the property line on Roosevelt Trail.
- The existing 1" service to the site must be terminated by shutting the corporation valve and cutting the pipe from the main.
- Further engineering review will need to take place to ensure proper development of the site.
- Water District approval of water infrastructure plans will be required for the project prior to construction. As your project progresses, we advise that you submit any preliminary design plans to MEANS for review of the water main and water service line configuration. We will work with you to ensure that the design meets our current standards.
- Following final plan approval the owner or contractor will need to make an appointment to come in and complete a service application form and pay the necessary fees prior to construction.

225 DOUGLASS STREET P.O. BOX 3553 PORTLAND, MAINE 04104-3553 PHONE: 207.774.5961 FAX: 207.761.8307 WEB: WWW.PWD.ORG

### Existing Site Service

According to District records, the project site does currently have existing water service. An 8-inch diameter ductile iron water service line provides water service to this site. Please refer to the "Conditions of Service" section of this letter for requirements related to the use of this service.

### Water System Characteristics

According to District records, there is an 12-inch diameter ductile iron water main in Roosevelt Trail and a public fire hydrant located 175 feet from the site. The most recent static pressure reading was 84 psi on February 4, 2016.

### Public Fire Protection

The installation of new public hydrants to be accepted into the District water system will most likely not be required. It is your responsibility to contact the Windham Fire Department to ensure that this project is adequately served by existing and/or proposed hydrants.

## Domestic Water Needs

The data noted above indicates there should be adequate pressure and volume of water to serve the domestic water needs of your proposed project. Based on the high water pressure in this area, we recommend that you consider the installation of pressure reducing devices that comply with state plumbing codes.

## Private Fire Protection Water Needs

You have indicated that this project will require water service to provide private fire protection to the site. Please note that the District does not guarantee any quantity of water or pressure through a fire protection service. Please share these results with your sprinkler system designer so that they can design the fire protection system to best fit the noted conditions. If the data is out of date or insufficient for their needs, please contact MEANS to request a hydrant flow test and we will work with you to get more complete data.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may request a review by the District's Internal Review Team. Your request for review must be in writing and state the reason for your disagreement with the determination. The request must be sent to MEANS@PWD.org or mailed to 225 Douglass Street, Portland Maine, 04104 c/o MEANS. The Internal Review Team will undertake review as requested within 2 weeks of receipt of a request for review.

If the District can be of further assistance in this matter, please let us know.

Sincerely, Portland Water District

Gordon S. Johnson, P.E. Engineering Services Manager

#### Trip Generation - Plaza Project Phase II - Windham, Maine

The Plaza Project Phase II is the second phase of an expansion of an existing business on the easterly side of US Route 302 approximately 850 feet south of White's Bridge Road in North Windham. The existing building has 4.000 square feet of floor area and houses a hearing aid sales/service business. Phase I, a 4,800 square foot building located adjacent to and just south of the existing building, was recently approved by local officials and will be either offices or some type of retail. Phase II will be a day care center serving 100 students located behind (east of) the existing building on the site. Both Phase I and Phase II will use the driveway to the existing building as their access as well.

Because Phase I is not yet built and operational, and is in effect part of a common scheme of development, trip generation for the purposes of an MDOT Traffic Movement Permit must include both land uses in calculating net new traffic generated. For Phase I office space and Phase II day care students the data contained in the publication <u>Trip Generation</u> (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2008) was utilized for land uses 710 "General Office" and land use 565 "Day Care Center. For retail land uses the publication contains many specific land uses ranging from shopping centers to specialty retail which have varying rates. In this case a "generic" retail rate, which has generally been accepted by MDOT in the past, of 5.0 trips per 1000 square feet of floor area for the weekday PM peak hour (generally the AM peak hour for most retail land uses is negligible), and 6.0 trips per 1000 square feet for the Saturday peak hour (typically occurring between 11:00 AM and 1:00 PM). Because the day care facility is not expected to be significant on Saturday, only the weekday peak hours were considered. A summary of the peak hour trip generation estimates is presented in the table below:

Time Period	Day Care (100 students)	Office (4,800 sf)	Day Care + Office	Retail (4,800 sf)	Day Care + Retail
AM Peak Hour	80	7	87	-	-
PM Peak Hour	82	7	89	24	106

The highest peak hour is the weekday PM peak hour with Retail land use in Phase I at 106 vehicle trips, thus triggering the need for a Traffic Movement Permit. For the traffic impact analysis, the weekday PM peak hour will be used.

There is no data in ITE data on the level of pass-by trips (i.e. trips drawn from traffic already passing the site on Route 302) since "generic" retail is not a category in that data. For most retail land uses the level of pass-by traffic is generally around 50 percent and this will be used in distributing and assigning trips to/from the site. Likewise there is no pass-by trip data for day care centers, however is seems likely that much traffic would involve parents picking up/ dropping off children on the way to/from work. No credit will be taken for pass-by trips due to the lack of any support data, but the likelihood of the phenomenon regarding trips to/from work will be factored in directional distribution of new trips.

Accordingly, the net trip generation associated with Phases I and II is summarized as follows:

	All Trips	Enter	Exit
Total	106	51	55
Primary	94	45	49
Pass-By	12	6	6

Directional distribution of PM peak hour trips entering the site was estimated based upon the distribution of traffic on Route 302 determined from the manual traffic count conducted at the site drive on November 10, 2016. For trips exiting the site the factors noted previously regarding likely trip patterns was utilized Figure 2 on the following page presents trip generation for the weekday PM peak hour.



Figure 2 SITE GENERATED WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC EATON TRAFFIC ENGINEERING Plaza Project Phase II / North Windham, Maine 67 Winter Street Ste.1 - Topsham, Maine

ete

### Safety Assessment - Plaza Project Phase II - Windham, Maine

LOCATION	2013-15 ACCIDENTS	ANNUAL AVERAGE	CRITICAL RATE FACTOR <sup>1</sup>
Route 302 / White's Bridge Rd. to Chaffin Pond Rd.	14	4.67	0.56

### 2013-15 Accident History in Site Vicinity

MDOT guidelines for identification of a High Crash Location (HCL - indicating a potential safety deficiency) is that a location must experience both 8 or more accidents in a 3 year period and have a Critical Rate Factor of 1.00 or greater. The location does not satisfy the criteria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Critical Rate Factor is a statistical measure which compares the accident frequency at a location to similar locations throughout the State. A Critical Rate Factor of 1.00 or greater indicates that the location has a higher frequency of accidents than would be expected due to random occurrence, with a 99 percent level of confidence.

## <u>Section 7</u> <u>Plaza Project Phase II</u> <u>Pre- and Post-Development LOS Analysis</u>

### **Pre-Development PM Peak Hour Traffic**

Traffic impact analysis is typically performed for traffic conditions that occur during a weekday peak hour, as this is usually the time of heaviest traffic flow that occurs on a roadway. As part of the process of estimating weekday PM peak hour traffic volumes in the vicinity of the site, a manual turning movement count was conducted at the intersection of Route 302 @ Site Access Drive on Thursday, November 10, 2016 (copy of raw count data attached). The counted volumes were adjusted using MDOT traffic count data to reflect peak seasonal flows. This adjustment amounted to an increase of 15 percent over the November 10<sup>th</sup> count, and an additional 1 percent to account for regional background growth from 2016 to 2017 (when the project is expected to be completed and operational). Figure 3 presents the projected predevelopment PM peak hour volumes in the vicinity of the site.

### Post-Development 2017 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Post-development 2017 weekday PM peak hour volumes are the combination of predevelopment volumes presented in Figure 3, and site generated traffic presented in Figure 2 (Section 1). Figure 4 (attached) presents projected 2017 weekday PM peak hour postdevelopment traffic volumes.

## **Operational Assessment Pre/ Post-Development Traffic Volumes**

Capacity analysis was performed for the pre- and post-development PM peak hour traffic projections for the intersection of Route 3002 @ Site Drive using the procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual<sup>1</sup>. Capacity analysis provides a quantitative assessment of the quality of traffic flow at an intersection, and "rates" this quality in terms of its Level of Service (LOS). LOS ratings range from A to F, and much like a school rank card, A indicates very good conditions, and F indicates extremely congested conditions with long delays.

LOS for unsignalized intersections such as the intersection of Route 302 @ Site Drive is based upon average <u>control</u> delay, which takes into account the delay involved in entering a vehicle queue, waiting in a vehicle queue and start-up delay. The relationship between LOS and average total delay is shown below:

Level of Service	Average Total Delay Per Vehicle
А	$\leq 10$ Seconds
В	>10 - 15 Seconds
С	>15 - 25 Seconds
D	>25 - 35 Seconds
Е	>35 - 50 Seconds
F	> 50 Seconds

## Level of Service Measurement for Unsignalized Intersections

<sup>1</sup>, Highway Capacity Manual, HCM2010, Transportation Research Board, 2010

Capacity analysis was conducted using the computer program Synchro/SimTraffic, which replicates the procedures contained in the Highway Capacity Manual. Consistent with MDOT procedures, LOS was also based upon the average total vehicle delay recorded on 5 iterations of the SimTraffic Model. The results of the analysis are as follows (output summaries of the HCM and SimTraffic summaries attached):

Street -	Pre-Development PM HCS Post-Deve			lopment PM HCS Post-Dev PM SimTraffic			
Movement	Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS	Delay (sec)	LOS	
Route 302 @ Site Drive							
WBL (Site	0	Δ	106.5	F	50.3	F	
Drive)	0	A	190.5	1'	39.3	1,	
WBR	13.6	В	17.9	С	7.7	А	
NBT (Rt. 302)	0	А	0	А	0.9	А	
NBR	0	А	0	А	0.7	А	
SBL (Rt. 302)	0	А	13.8	С	13.2	С	
SBT	0	А	0	А	0.4	А	
ALL	-	-	-	-	1.4	Α	

As can be seen in the tables above, the overall Level of Service based upon the SimTraffic is very good (LOS A), with all major (Route 302) movements operating with very low average delays during the PM peak hour. As would be expected, side street left turn movements from the Site Driveway operate with long delays and low levels of service. The high delays on the side street(s) involve relatively low volumes, so when total intersection delay for all vehicles passing through the intersection are averaged, overall average delays are not significant. The SimTraffic program is a microscopic model which models individual vehicles and records data for each vehicle (including delay) passing through the intersection for the one hour modeling period. Typical Highway Capacity Manual analyses procedures are more macroscopic in nature and evaluate the entire one hour of vehicle flow from an overall rather than individual vehicle basis. As can be seen, the Unsignalized Intersection analyses using the Highway Capacity Manual procedures (which are generated by the Synchro software) indicate higher delays for the Route 302 @Site Drive intersection. Neither the HCS nor the SimTraffic procedures can reflect the presence of a two-way left turn lane, which is present on Route 302 at the site driveway. With this design, vehicles turning left from the site drive can perform this left turn when there is no traffic approaching from the left and simply occupy the center two-way left turn lane until traffic from the right reduces to the point that a merge into the southbound lane can be made safely. To try and mimic this, the HCS analysis was repeated first for scenario with only northbound traffic (which would model the left turn from the site drive into the center two-way left turn lane), then with only southbound traffic (to model the merge into the southbound lane). This resulted in a delay of 60.5 seconds for the left turn from the site, and a delay of 13.5 seconds for the merge into the southbound lane, for a total of 71 seconds of delay, still LOS F, but clearly indicating the benefit of the two-way left turn lane.

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

## THE PLAZA – PHASE 2 DAYCARE CENTER 881 ROOSEVELT TRAIL, WINDHAM

#### A. Narrative

Martin Lippman is proposing to further develop property located at 881 Roosevelt Trail in Windham with a new daycare facility. This will be the second phase of his project named "The Plaza". Phase 1, which was approved by the Town in November of 2016, included the construction of a 4,800 square foot retail/office building with associated parking, utilities and stormwater infrastructure. Phase 2 of the development consists of the construction of a 4,960 square foot daycare facility with associated parking, utilities and stormwater infrastructure. Phase 2 of the development consists of the construction of a 4,960 square foot daycare facility with associated parking, utilities and stormwater infrastructure. Phase 2 also includes a 163-foot paved extension of the access road included in the Phase 1 design. As part of the overall project, the access road will eventually be extended to Roosevelt Trial to provide a second access location for the development and a future phase will be proposed to the south of the proposed daycare center. This roadway has been roughly installed to subgrade to provide access for earth movement activities associated with providing level building pads for Phase 2 and for a future phase. This road will not be finish graded and paved until the property is fully developed.

The properties associated with the overall project are identified as Lots 19A, 20 and 21 on the Town of Windham Assessors Map 18, have a total area of approximately 7.65 acres, and are located in the Commercial District 1 zoning district. The property currently contains a hearing aid business building with associated paved parking to north and a former garden center to the south. The project will be served by public water, two new on-site private septic tanks connecting into a septic field that will be constructed as part of Phase 1 and underground electrical, telephone, data and natural gas service. In general, the site drains either to the northeast onto the abutting property, to the south to Outlet Brook and a small portion of the site draining to Roosevelt Trail. Outlet Brook drains westerly across Roosevelt Trail eventually discharging into Sebago Lake Basin. The Sebago Lake watershed has been defined by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection as a lake watershed most-at-risk.

#### B. Alterations to Land Cover

Prior to construction activities associated with the project, the site consisted of approximately 35,465 square feet of impervious surfaces including the hearing aid business, the garden center with associated greenhouses, a garage and an old foundation. The proposed development including both Phase 1 and Phase 2 will remove approximately 2,385 square feet of that impervious area while the remaining 33,080 square feet will remain or will be rebuilt as impervious surface. The project in the post development condition will consist of approximately 82,400 square feet of total impervious surface resulting in a net increase of 46,935 square feet. The project will also consist of an additional 81,505 square feet of new landscaped areas resulting in a total new developed area of 128,440 square feet. The site had varying slopes

through the property. Prior to earth movement operations on the property, there were areas of flat slopes and other areas at the center of the site and along Outlet Brook that exceeded a 20% slope. Soils on the property are primarily Hinckley loamy sand with an area of Sebago mucky peat located in the vicinity of Outlet Brook as identified on the Medium Intensity Soil Maps for Cumberland County, Maine published by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. The two soils within the proposed development are in the hydrologic soil groups "A" and "A/D" respectively, as indicated on the attached watershed maps. For stormwater modeling purposes the "A/D" soil was modeled as a "D" soil since its natural condition is group "D".

#### C. <u>Methodology and Modeling Assumptions</u>

The proposed stormwater management system has been designed utilizing Best Management Practices to maintain existing drainage patterns while providing stormwater quality improvement measures. The goal of the storm drainage system design is to remove potential stormwater pollutants while attenuating the post-development peak runoff rates. The method utilized to predict the surface water runoff rates in this analysis is a computer program entitled HydroCAD, which is based on the same methods that were originally developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service, and utilized in the TR-20 modeling program. Peak rates of runoff are forecasted based upon land use, hydrologic soil conditions, vegetative cover, contributing watershed area, time of concentration, rainfall data, storage volumes of detention basins and the hydraulic capacity of structures. The computer model predicts the amount of runoff as a function of time, with the ability to include the attenuation effect due to dams, lakes, large wetlands, floodplains and constructed stormwater management basins. The input data for rainfalls with statistical recurrence frequencies of 2-, 10- and 25 years was obtained from Appendix H of the Maine Department of Environmental Protection, Chapter 500 Stormwater Management, last revised in 2015. The National Weather Service developed four synthetic storm types to simulate rainfall patterns around the country. For analysis in Cumberland County, Maine, the type III rainfall pattern with a 24-hour duration is appropriate.

#### D. Basic Standards

The project is required by the Town and the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) to provide permanent and temporary Erosion Control Best Management Practices. These methods are outlined in detail in the plan set.

#### E. General Standard

The Windham Land Use Ordinance requires that projects requiring Major Site Plan Review shall comply with Section 4B(2) and Section 4B(3) of the General Standards of the MDEP Chapter 500 Stormwater Management. This document outlines the requirement of the project to provide stormwater quality treatment for no less than 95% of the new impervious surface and 80% of the total new developed area associated with the project.

The approved stormwater infrastructure for Phase 1 of this development consisted of an underdrained soil filter basin located between the parking lot and Roosevelt Trail. To provide the additional required stormwater treatment and quantity control, another larger filter basin is proposed to the south of the development. This basin has been designed to provide treatment

for the daycare facility parking, its associated landscaped area, the disturbed area associated with the leveling of the future phases site south of the proposed daycare center and a portion of the access road. The basin has been oversized to provide stormwater treatment for an assumed impervious footprint that may be created as part of the future phase. The treatment calculations included with this report only reflect this portion of the site as vegetated landscaped area. Once the applicant decides to move forward with the third phase, additional treatment calculations will be provided.

As indicated in the treatment calculations, the proposed water quality treatment will exceed the treatment requirements for the new impervious and developed areas in order to provide quantity control for the project. Calculations can be found on the Watershed Maps and enclosed in this report.

#### F. Flooding Standard

The Windham Land Use Ordinance requires that projects requiring Site Plan Review shall detain, retain or result in the infiltration of stormwater from the 24-hour storms of the 2-year, 10-year and 25-year frequencies such that the peak flows of stomwater from the project site do not exceed the peak flows of stormwater prior to undertaking the project. To maintain these rates, two underdrained filter basins have been proposed as part of the stormwater infrastructure.

The first study point (SP-1) is the location where stormwater is collected and discharges the property to the northeast. The second study point (SP-2) is where Outlet Brook leaves the property westerly through an existing 48" culvert beneath Roosevelt Trail. This flow eventually discharges into the Sebago Lake Basin. The third study point (SP-3) is where a small watershed drains onto Roosevelt Trail. The following tables summarize the analysis:

Table 1 – Peak Rates of Stormwater Runoff								
Study Point2-Year (cfs)10-Year (cfs)25-Year (cfs)								
	Pre	Post	Pre Post		Pre	Post		
SP-1	0.32	0.00	1.69	0.01	3.28	0.08		
SP-2	2.50	1.90	4.92	4.60	7.10	6.92		
SP-3 0.08 0.06			0.20	0.10	0.30	0.13		

The removal of existing impervious surface and the installation of the two filter basins reduces the peak rates of runoff at all study points. The watershed maps showing pre-development and post-development drainage patterns are included in the plan set and the computations performed with the HydroCAD software program are included as an attachment to this report.

#### G. Phosphorous Standard

Outlet Brook which is located along the southern property boundary drains westerly across Roosevelt Trail eventually discharging to Sebago Lake Basin. Since the Sebago Lake watershed has been defined by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection as a lake watershed most-at-risk and there is more than 20,000 square feet of new impervious surface, the Phosphorous Standard must be met. According to Section 4D(1) Phosphorous Standard of the MDEP Chapter 500 Stormwater Management document, if the watershed is not severely blooming and the total impervious surface for the site is less than 3 acres or 5 acres of developed area, the General Standards can be met as an alternative to producing the phosphorous export calculations. We have decided to use the alternative standard for this project.

H. Maintenance of common facilities or property

The owner of the facility will be responsible for the maintenance of the stormwater facilities. Enclosed is an Inspection, Maintenance and Housekeeping Plan for the project.

Prepared by:

DM ROMA CONSULTING ENGINEERS

m Hashk

Jayson R. Haskell, P.E. Project Manager



## INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE, AND HOUSEKEEPING PLAN

## THE PLAZA-PHASE 2 DAYCARE FACILITY 881 ROOSEVELT TRAIL, WINDHAM, MAINE

## **Responsible Party**

Owner:	Martin Lippman
	71 Stuart Shores Road
	Standish, Maine 04084

The owner is responsible for the maintenance of all stormwater management structures and related site components and the keeping of a maintenance log book with service records. Records of all inspections and maintenance work performed must be kept on file with the owner and retained for a minimum of five years. The maintenance log will be made available to the Town and Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) upon request. At a minimum, the maintenance of stormwater management systems will be performed on the prescribed schedule.

The procedures outlined in this plan are provided as a general overview of the anticipated practices to be utilized on this site. In some instances, additional measures may be required due to unexpected conditions. *The Maine Erosion and Sedimentation Control BMP* and *Stormwater Management for Maine: Best Management Practices* Manuals published by the MDEP should be referenced for additional information.

## **During Construction**

- 1. Inspection and Corrective Action: It is the contractor's responsibility to comply with the inspection and maintenance procedures outlined in this section. Inspection shall occur on all disturbed and impervious areas, erosion control measures, material storage areas that are exposed to precipitation, and locations where vehicles enter or exit the site. These areas shall be inspected at least once a week as well as 24 hours before and after a storm event and prior to completing permanent stabilization measures. A person with knowledge of erosion and stormwater control, including the standards and conditions in the permit, shall conduct the inspections.
- 2. Maintenance: Erosion controls shall be maintained in effective operating condition until areas are permanently stabilized. If best management practices (BMPs) need to be repaired, the repair work should be initiated upon discovery of the problem but no later than the end of the next workday. If BMPs need to be maintained or modified, additional BMPs are necessary, or other corrective action is needed, implementation must be completed within seven calendar days and prior to any rainfall event.

**3. Documentation:** A report summarizing the inspections and any corrective action taken must be maintained on site. The log must include the name(s) and qualifications of the person making the inspections; the date(s) of the inspections; and the major observations about the operation and maintenance of erosion and sedimentation controls, materials storage areas, and vehicle access points to the parcel. Major observations must include BMPs that need maintenance, BMPs that failed to operate as designed or proved inadequate for a particular location, and location(s) where additional BMPs are needed. For each BMP requiring maintenance, BMP needing replacement, and location needing additional BMPs, note in the log the corrective action taken and when it was taken. The log must be made accessible to MDEP staff, and a copy must be provided upon request. The owner shall retain a copy of the log for a period of at least three years from the completion of permanent stabilization.

## **Houskeeping**

- 1. **Spill prevention:** Controls must be used to prevent pollutants from construction and waste materials on site to enter stormwater, which includes storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater. The site contractor or operator must develop, and implement as necessary, appropriate spill prevention, containment, and response planning measures.
- 2. Groundwater protection: During construction, liquid petroleum products and other hazardous materials with the potential to contaminate groundwater may not be stored or handled in areas of the site draining to an infiltration area. An "infiltration area" is any area of the site that by design or as a result of soils, topography and other relevant factors accumulates runoff that infiltrates into the soil. Dikes, berms, sumps, and other forms of secondary containment that prevent discharge to groundwater may be used to isolate portions of the site for the purposes of storage and handling of these materials. Any project proposing infiltration of stormwater must provide adequate pre-treatment of stormwater prior to discharge of stormwater to the infiltration area, or provide for treatment within the infiltration area, in order to prevent the accumulation of fines, reduction in infiltration rate, and consequent flooding and destabilization.
- **3.** Fugitive sediment and dust: Actions must be taken to ensure that activities do not result in noticeable erosion of soils or fugitive dust emissions during or after construction. Oil may not be used for dust control, but other water additives may be considered as needed. A stabilized construction entrance (SCE) should be included to minimize tracking of mud and sediment. If off-site tracking occurs, public roads should be swept immediately and no less than once a week and prior to significant storm events. Operations during dry months, that experience fugitive dust problems, should wet down unpaved access roads once a week or more frequently as needed with a water additive to suppress fugitive sediment and dust.
- 4. Debris and other materials: Minimize the exposure of construction debris, building and landscaping materials, trash, fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, detergents, sanitary waste

and other materials to precipitation and stormwater runoff. These materials must be prevented from becoming a pollutant source.

- **5.** Excavation de-watering: Excavation de-watering is the removal of water from trenches, foundations, coffer dams, ponds, and other areas within the construction area that retain water after excavation. In most cases the collected water is heavily silted and hinders correct and safe construction practices. The collected water removed from the ponded area, either through gravity or pumping, must be spread through natural wooded buffers or removed to areas that are specifically designed to collect the maximum amount of sediment possible, like a cofferdam sedimentation basin. Avoid allowing the water to flow over disturbed areas of the site. Equivalent measures may be taken if approved by the Department.
- **6. Authorized Non-stormwater discharges:** Identify and prevent contamination by nonstormwater discharges. Where allowed non-stormwater discharges exist, they must be identified and steps should be taken to ensure the implementation of appropriate pollution prevention measures for the non-stormwater component(s) of the discharge. Authorized non-stormwater discharges are:

(a) Discharges from firefighting activity;

(b) Fire hydrant flushings;

(c) Vehicle washwater if detergents are not used and washing is limited to the exterior of vehicles (engine, undercarriage and transmission washing is prohibited);

(d) Dust control runoff in accordance with permit conditions and Appendix (C)(3);

(e) Routine external building washdown, not including surface paint removal, that does not involve detergents;

(f) Pavement washwater (where spills/leaks of toxic or hazardous materials have not occurred, unless all spilled material had been removed) if detergents are not used;

(g) Uncontaminated air conditioning or compressor condensate;

(h) Uncontaminated groundwater or spring water;

(i) Foundation or footer drain-water where flows are not contaminated;

(j) Uncontaminated excavation dewatering (see requirements in Appendix C(5));

(k) Potable water sources including waterline flushings; and

(l) Landscape irrigation.

7. Unauthorized non-stormwater discharges: Approval from the MDEP does not authorize a discharge that is mixed with a source of non-stormwater, other than those discharges in compliance with Section 6 above. Specifically, the MDEP's approval does not authorize discharges of the following:

(a) Wastewater from the washout or cleanout of concrete, stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds or other construction materials;

(b) Fuels, oils or other pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance;

(c) Soaps, solvents, or detergents used in vehicle and equipment washing; and

(d) Toxic or hazardous substances from a spill or other release.

## **Post construction**

- 1. Inspection and Corrective Action: All measures must be maintained by the owner in effective operating condition. A qualified third party inspector hired by the owner shall at least annually inspect the stormwater management facilities. This person should have knowledge of erosion and stormwater control including the standards and conditions of the site's approvals. The inspector shall be certified through the MDEP to inspect the stormwater infrastructure. The following areas, facilities, and measures must be inspected, and identified deficiencies must be corrected. Areas, facilities, and measures other than those listed below may also require inspection on a specific site.
  - A. Vegetated Areas: Inspect vegetated areas, particularly slopes and embankments, early in the growing season or after heavy rains to identify active or potential erosion problems. Replant bare areas or areas with sparse growth. Where rill is evident, armor the area with an appropriate lining or divert the erosive flows to on-site areas able to withstand the concentrated flows.
  - **B.** Ditches, Swales, and Open Channels: Inspect ditches, swales, and other open channels in the spring, late fall, and after heavy rains to remove any obstructions to flow, remove accumulated sediments and debris, control vegetative growth that could obstruct flow, and repair any erosion of the ditch lining. Vegetated ditches must be mowed at least annually or otherwise maintained to control the growth of woody vegetation and maintain flow capacity. Any woody vegetation growing through riprap linings must also be removed. Repair any slumping side slopes as soon as practicable. If the ditch has a riprap lining, replace riprap on areas where any underlying filter fabric or underdrain gravel is showing through the stone or where stones have dislodged. The channel must receive adequate routine maintenance to maintain capacity and prevent or correct any erosion of the channel's bottom or side slopes.
  - **C. Culverts:** Inspect culverts in the spring, late fall, and after heavy rains to remove any obstructions to flow; remove accumulated sediments and debris at the inlet, at the outlet, and within the conduit; and to repair any erosion damage at the culvert's inlet and outlet.
  - **D.** Catch Basins and Outlet Structures: Inspect and, if required, clean out catch basins at least once a year, preferably in early spring. Clean out must include the removal and legal disposal of any accumulated sediments and debris at the bottom of the basin, at any inlet grates, at any inflow channels to the basin, and at any pipes between basins. If the basin outlet is designed to trap floatable materials, then remove the floating debris and any floating oils (using oil-absorptive pads).
  - **E. Underdrained Filter Basin:** Basin should be inspected semi-annually and following major storm events for the first year and every six months thereafter. The basin should drain within 48 hours following a one-inch storm and if a larger storm fills the

system to overflow, it shall drain within 36 to 60 hours. If ponding exceeds 48 hours, the top of the filter bed must be rototilled to reestablish the soil's filtration capacity. If water ponds on the surface of the bed for more than 72 hours, the top several inches of the filter shall be replaced with fresh material. Inspect for debris and sediment build up in the forebay and basin and remove as needed. Mowing of the basin can only occur semi-annually to a height of no less than 6 inches utilizing a hand-held string trimmer or push-mower. Any bare areas or erosion rills shall be repaired with new filter media or sandy loam then seeded and mulched. The basin should also be inspected annually for destabilization of side slopes, embankment settling and other signs of structural failure.

- **F. Regular Maintenance:** Clear accumulations of winter sand along parking areas at least once a year, preferably in the spring. Accumulations on pavement may be removed by pavement sweeping. Accumulations of sand along pavement shoulders may be removed by grading excess sand to the pavement edge and removing it manually or by a front-end loader.
- **G. Documentation:** Keep a log (report) summarizing inspections, maintenance, and any corrective actions taken. The log must include the date on which each inspection or maintenance task was performed, a description of the inspection findings or maintenance completed, and the name of the inspector or maintenance personnel performing the task. If a maintenance task requires the clean-out of any sediments or debris, indicate where the sediment and debris was disposed after removal. The log must be made accessible to Town staff upon request. The permittee shall retain a copy of the log for a period of at least five years from the completion of permanent stabilization. Attached is a sample log.

## **Re-certification**

As a requirement of the Town, the stormwater infrastructure shall be inspected yearly by a qualified third party inspector. The third party inspector shall perform an initial inspection to determine the status of the stormwater management facilities. If the initial inspection identifies any deficiencies with the facilities, the same third party inspector shall re-inspect the facilities after they have been maintained or repaired to determine if they are performing as intended. Once the site is satisfactory, the third party inspector shall submit the Annual Stormwater Management Facilities Certification form and report to the Office of Code Enforcement. The certification form shall be submitted to the Town prior to May 1 of each year. A copy of the approval form has been included at the end of this document.

As a requirement of the MDEP, a certification of the following items must be submitted within three months of the expiration of each five-year interval from the date of issuance of the permit.

- (a) **Identification and repair of erosion problems**. All areas of the project site have been inspected for areas of erosion, and appropriate steps have been taken to permanently stabilize these areas.
- (b) **Inspection and repair of stormwater control system**. All aspects of the stormwater control system have been inspected for damage, wear, and malfunction, and appropriate steps have been taken to repair or replace the system, or portions of the system.
- (c) **Maintenance**. The erosion and stormwater maintenance plan for the site is being implemented as written, or modifications to the plan have been submitted to and approved by the Department, and the maintenance log is being maintained.

## **Duration of Maintenance**

Perform maintenance as described.

## **MAINTENANCE LOG**

## THE PLAZA-PHASE 2 DAYCARE FACILITY 881 ROOSEVELT TRAIL, WINDHAM, MAINE

The following stormwater management and erosion control items shall be inspected and maintained as prescribed in the Maintenance Plan with recommended frequencies as identified below. The owner is responsible for keeping this maintenance log on file for a minimum of five years and shall provide a copy to the Town and Maine Department of Environmental Protection upon request. Inspections are to be performed by a qualified third party inspector and all corrective actions shall be performed by personnel familiar with stormwater management systems and erosion controls.

Maintenance	Maintenance Event	Date	Responsible	Comments
Item		Performed	Personnel	
Ditches,	Inspect after major rainfall			
swales, and	event producing 1" of rain			
other open	in two hours.			
channels	Inspect for erosion or			
enamiens	slumping & repair			
	Mowed at least annually.			
Culverts	Inspect semiannually and			
	after major rainfall.			
	Repair crossion at inlet or			
	Repair displaced ripran			
	Class assumption d			
	sediment in culverts when			
	>20% full			
Catch Basins	Inspect to ensure that			
and Outlet	structure is properly			
Structures	draining.			
Suuciules	Remove accumulated			
	sediment semiannually.			
	Inspect grates/inlets and			
	remove debris as needed.			
Underdrained	Check after each rainfall			
Filter Basins	event to ensure that pond			
	drams within 24-48 hours.			
	of filter if pond does not			
	drain within 72 hours.			
	Mow grass no more than			
	twice a year to no less			
	than 6 inches in height.			
	Inspect semi-annually for			
	erosion or sediment			
	accumulation and repair as			
	necessary.			

#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### Annual Stormwater Management Facilities Certification (to be sent to Municipal Enforcement Authority)

(print or type name), certify the following:

I am making this annual stormwater management facilities certification for the following 1 \_\_\_\_\_ (print or type property: name of subdivision, condominium or other development) located at

(print or type address), (the "property");

The owner, operator, tenant, lessee or homeowners' association of the property is: 2. (name(s) of owner, operator, tenant, lessee, homeowners' association or other party having control over the property);

I am the owner, operator, tenant, lessee or president of the homeowners' association, or 3. am a qualified third party inspector hired by the same (circle one);

I have knowledge of erosion and stormwater control and have reviewed the approved post-construction stormwater management plan for the property;

5

On \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_, I inspected or had inspected by \_\_\_\_\_, a qualified third-party inspector, the stormwater management facilities, including but not limited to parking areas, catch basins, drainage swales, detention basins and ponds, pipes and related structures required by the approved post-construction stormwater management plan for the property;

At the time of my inspection of the stormwater management facilities on the property, I or 6. the qualified third-party inspector identified the following need(s) for routine maintenance or deficiencies in the stormwater management facilities:

On \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_, I took or had taken the following routine maintenance or the 7 following corrective action(s) to address the deficiencies in the stormwater management facilities stated in 6 above:

8. As of the date of this certification, the stormwater management facilities are functioning as intended by the approved post-construction stormwater management plan for the property

Date:\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_,

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Signature

	Print Name
STATE OF MAINE, ss	, 20
Personally appeared the above-named of certification to be said person's free act and deed in	, and acknowledged the foregoing annual n said capacity.
	Before me,
	Notary Public/Attorney at Law
Print Name:	

Mail this certification to the Town of Windham at the following address:

Office of Code Enforcement Town of Windham 8 School Road Windham, ME 04062

SUBSURFA	CE WAST	ΈW	ATER DISPOSA	L SY	STEM APPLICA	TION	Maine Dept. Health & Human Service Div of Environmental Health , 11 SH (207) 287-5872 FAX (207) 287-348	
P	ROPERTY	LOC	ATION		>>CAUTION: L	PI APPRO	OVAL REQUIRED<<	
City, Town, or Plantation	WINDHAM							
Street or Road	885 L 887	ROC	DSEVEL T TRATI	То	wn/City		Permit #	
Subdivision, Lot #		Roc	SOLICET TRAIL	Date Permit Issued / / Fee \$ Double Fee Charged [ ]				
OWNE Name (last, first, MI) LIPPMAN	R/APPLICA	NT II	NFORMATION Owner ARTIN Applicant		ocal Plumbing Inspector S	Signature	LPI #	
Mailing Address	ailing Address 71 STUART SHORES ROAD			The Su Permit	The Subsurface Wastewater Disposal System <i>shall not</i> be installed until a			
Owner	WINE STANDISH, ME 04084			authori: with thi	ze the owner or installer to install the s application and the Maine Subsurfa	disposal system ce Wastewater	n in accordance Disposal Rules.	
Daytime Tel. #			100	)	Municipal Tax Map #	18 L	-ot# 19A & 19B	
OWNER OR APPLICANT STATEMENT I state and acknowledge that the information submitted is correct the best in my knowledge and understand that any falsification is reason for the Dependent and/or Local Plumbing Inspector to deny a permit.				l	CAUTION: I have inspected the installation ith the Subsurface Wastewater	NSPECTIC authorized a Disposal Ru	DN REQUIRED above and found it to be in compliance les Application. (1st) Date Approved	
Signature of	of Owner/Applicant		Date		Local Plumbi	ng Inspector	Signature (2nd) Date Approved	
			PERMIT I	NFO	RMATION			
TYPE OF A	PPLICATION		THIS APPLIC	ATION	REQUIRES	DIS	POSAL SYSTEM COMPONENTS	
□ 1. First Ti ■ 2. Replac	ime System ement System		1.No Rule Variance 2.First Time System	n Varia	nce	■ 1. Cor	mplete Non-Engineered System	
Type Replaced	:		a. Local Plumbir	ng Insp	ector Approval	□ 2. 11	ernative Toilet, specify:	
3. Expand	ded System		b. State & Local Plumbing Inspector Approval 3 Replacement System Variance		ing Inspector Approval		n-Engineered Treatment Tank (only)	
□ a. <25	% Expansion		<ul> <li>a. Local Plumbing Inspector Appro</li> </ul>		ector Approval	6. Noi	n-Engineered Disposal Field (only)	
■ b>25	% Expansion		b. State & Local Plumbing 4 Minimum Lot Size Variance		ing Inspector Approval	7. Separated Laundry System		
5. Seasor	nal Conversion		5.Seasonal Convers	sion Permit		∐8. Con □9 End	mplete Engineered System(2000gpd+ nineered Treatment Tank (only)	
SIZE OF P	ROPERTY	_	DISPOSAL SY	L SYSTEM TO SERVE		□ 10. Engineered Disposal Field (only)		
15 +1-	ACF	RES	1. Single Family Dwelling Unit, No. of Bedro     2. Multiple Family Dwelling Unit, No. of Bedro		it, No. of Bedrooms:	🗆 12. Mis	scellaneous components	
SHORELAN	ND ZONING		3. Other: <u>COMMERICAL BUILDIN</u>		BUILDINGS		TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY	
□ Yes	No		Current Use 🗌 Seasonal	Year	Round 🗌 Undeveloped	4. Pu	iblic 5. Other:	
	DE	SIGN	N DETAILS (SYSTE	EM L	AYOUT SHOWN C	N PAG	E 3)	
5 TREATMEN H-20 RATED IF IN	T TANK S PARKING AREA	DI	SPOSAL FIELD TYPE & S	SIZE	GARBAGE DISPOSA	LUNIT	DESIGN FLOW	
a Regul	te		1. Stone Bed  2. Stone Tr	ench	■1. No □ 2. Yes □	3. Maybe	1 130 gallons per day	
□ b. Low F	Profile NOTE		a. Cluster array C.Linea	ar	If Yes or Maybe, specify one a.Multi-compartment	below: tank	1. Table 4A (dwelling unit(s))	
2. Plastic	ON PAGE 3		🗆 b. Regular 📕 d. H-20 lo	oaded	b tanks in ser	ries	SHOW CALCULATIONS for other facilities	
CAPACITY: 4	-1000's GAL.	SIZE	4. Other:	lin ft	C. Increase in tank ca	pacity	AT 100 GALLONS PER DAY	
	-1500	60 H-2	20 RATED CONCRETE CHAMBER	UNITS			L	
PROFILE CONF	SIGN CLASS		DISPOSAL FIELD SIZING	}	EFFLUENT/EJECTOF	RPUMP	SEE ATTACHED	
<u>3</u> / C		<b>—</b> ,	Madium 0.0		1. Not required		■ 3. Section 4G (meter readings)	
at Observation Hole	# TP 5	2.	. Medium - 2.6 sq.ft./gpd . Medium-Large - 3.3 sg ft /	apd	2. May be required		LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE	
Depth <u>33</u> "		Large - 4.1 sq.ft./gpd	374	Specify only for engineere	d systems:	at center of disposal area Lat. N43 d 50 m 48, 13 s		
of Most Limiting Soil Factor 4. Extra-Large - 5.0 sq.ft./gpd			d	DOSE:	3 gallons	Lon. <u>W70 d</u> <u>26 m</u> <u>47, 79 s</u> if g.p.s., state margin of error		
Certify that on 9	129/14 12	ato) I	SITE EVALUA	TOR	STATEMENT			
that the proposed	system is in com	uplian	ce with the Subsurface V	ion on Vastev	this property and state to ater Disposal Rules (10)	that the da	ata reported is accurate and	
M	her	F	erk	1/ 2	GIO DISPOSAL RULES (10	30/2	016	
- Site Eva	aluator Signature			SE	#	Date		
ALBE	RT FRICK		10	070 0	20 6612	070		
Site Eval	uator Name Print	ted	Te	lephon	e Number	E-mail Add	dress	
Note: Changes to o	r deviations from	the de	esign should be confirmed v	i, MAIN with the	NE 04038 - (207) 839-5563 Site Evaluator		Page 1 of 3 HHE-200 Rev. 02/2011	

## SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM APPLICATION

WIN, CITY, Plantation WINDHAM	885 & 887 ROOSE	VELT TRAIL		MARTIN LIP	PMAN
	SITE PLAN	Scale 1'' = or a	Ft. <u>s shown</u>	SITE LOCATIC (Attach Map Atlas Recomm	)N PLAN from Maine nended)
				Rod	ANGLERS M
				WHITES RD RE	SITE
				RAIL	A
					WIRE
					<u></u> <i>¥</i>
SE	F STTE PLAN	ATTACHE	D		
	C DITC TEAN	ATTACHC.			
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND	) CLASSIFICATION (LC	ocation of Obse	ervation Ho	les Shown	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND	D CLASSIFICATION (Lo Test Pit D Boring	Observation Hole	ervation Ho TP 6	l <b>es Shown</b> ■ Test Pit	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole TP 5	D CLASSIFICATION (LC ■ Test Pit □ Boring Above Mineral Soil Color   Mottling	Observation Hole Depth of	ervation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori:	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D	D CLASSIFICATION (LC ■ Test Pit	Observation Hole Observation Hole O Texture LOAMY SAND	ervation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN	Above) Boring al Soil Mottling
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit  Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth of Texture LOAMY SAND	ervation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Iles Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole Observation Hole OTexture	ervation Ho TP 6 of Organic Horiz Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Harizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE	D CLASSIFICATION (Lc Test Pit Dering Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth of Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITTH	Prvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori Consistency	Ies Shown Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE 0 COBBLES	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit G Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole Uppth Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES UP TO COBBLES UP TO COBBLES	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole TP 5 " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE COBBLES WITH	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole "Depth of Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITH SRAVEL	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori Consistency	Ies Shown Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon O <u>Texture</u> Consistency LOAMY SAND <u>D</u> GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE O COBBLES WITH GRAVEL	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation of Observation Hole Depth of Texture Depth of Texture LOAMY SAND To COBBLES WITH O GRAVEL GRAVEL GRAVEL TO GRAVEL GRAVEL	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole TP 5 " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE WITH GRAVEL COBBLES	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation Hole' Depth of Ob	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori Consistency	Iles Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN PALE BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND <u>D</u> GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE O COBBLES WITH GRAVEL GRAVELLY FIRM	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITH SOU COBBLES WITH SOU COBBLES	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN PALE BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE O COBBLES WITH GRAVEL O COBBLY GRAVELLY FIRM O SAND O	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN 	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITH GRAVEL GRAVEL	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN PALE BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole TP 5 " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE COBBLES WITH GRAVEL GRAVELLY FIRM SAND	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN CONTRACTOR CONTR	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITH SRAVEL AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU AU	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN PALE BROWN	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND Iservation Hole TP 5 " Depth of Organic Horizon 0 Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D 10 GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE 10 COBBLES WITH 10 GRAVEL 10 COBBLY GRAVEL 10 SAND SAND SAND	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit G Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN CONTRACTOR CON	Observation Hole Observation Hole Depth Texture LOAMY SAND COBBLES WITH COBBLES WITH COBBLES WITH COBBLES WITH COBBLES WITH COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES COBBLES	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Horiz Consistency	Ies Shown Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN BROWN BROWN BROWN BROWN	Above)         Image: Soil         Mottling         Image: Soil         Image: Soil <td< td=""></td<>
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole TP 5 " Depth of Organic Horizon Texture Consistency LOAMY SAND D GRAVELLY SAND FRIABLE O COBBLES WITH GRAVEL O COBBLY GRAVELLY FIRM O Soil Classification Slope 3 C 0	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN BROWN CONTROLOGY	Observation Hole Observation Hole Observation Hole Texture O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES O COBBLES	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Hori: Consistency	Iles Shown ■ Test Pit zon Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN PALE BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color Color DARK BROWN Color Color Color Color DARK BROWN Color Co	Above)
SOIL DESCRIPTION AND servation Hole <u>TP 5</u> " Depth of Organic Horizon O <u>Texture</u> Consistency LOAMY SAND <u>D</u> O GRAVELLY SAND <u>FRIABLE</u> O <u>COBBLES</u> WITH GRAVEL O <u>COBBLY</u> GRAVELLY FIRM O <u>Soil Classification</u> Slope <u>3</u> Profile Condition <u>Slope</u> <u>3</u> 7	D CLASSIFICATION (LC Test Pit G Boring Above Mineral Soil Color Mottling DARK BROWN LIGHT YELLOW BROWN BROWN CONT CO	Ocation of Observation Hole         Observation Hole         0       Texture         0       Texture         0       COBBLES         WITH       GRAVEL         30       GRAVEL         50       Soil Classifie         30       Soil Classifie	rvation Ho TP 6 of Organic Horiz Consistency	Ies Shown ■ Test Pit con Above Miner Color DARK BROWN YELLOW BROWN BROWN BROWN BROWN Contemported BROWN BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported BROWN Contemported Contemported BROWN Contemported Contemported BROWN Contemported Cont	Above)  Boring Boring Mottling  Mottling

