From:	Owens McCullough <omccullough@sebagotechnics.com></omccullough@sebagotechnics.com>
Sent:	Friday, November 09, 2018 10:41 AM
То:	Jonathan R. Earle; Amanda L. Lessard
Cc:	'gary.laplante@maine.gov'; Gretchen A. Anderson; Christopher S. Hanson;
	Dennis Morin
Subject:	RE: 18-35 Maine Corrections Center - Maintenance & Central Plant Building
Attachments:	16405OAS-OAS.pdf

Good Morning Jon and Amanda,

Attached is the site plan with note number 19 (Inspection and Maintenance) added to the plan. For consistency, we used the same note from the Women's Pre-release Center Project.

Thank you, Owens

From: Owens McCullough
Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 5:31 PM
To: 'Jonathan R. Earle' <<u>irearle@windhammaine.us</u>>; Amanda L. Lessard <<u>allessard@windhammaine.us</u>>
Cc: 'gary.laplante@maine.gov' <<u>gary.laplante@maine.gov</u>>; Gretchen A. Anderson
<<u>gaanderson@windhammaine.us</u>>; Christopher S. Hanson <<u>cshanson@windhammaine.us</u>>
Subject: RE: 18-35 Maine Corrections Center - Maintenance & Central Plant Building

Good afternoon Jon and Amanda,

Thank you for the quick follow-up on the submittal and review. Below next to each question is some follow-up information I hope will help clarify the items mentioned. Thank you, Owens

From: Jonathan R. Earle <<u>irearle@windhammaine.us</u>>
Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 1:38 PM
To: Amanda L. Lessard <<u>allessard@windhammaine.us</u>>; Owens McCullough
<<u>omccullough@sebagotechnics.com</u>>
Cc: 'gary.laplante@maine.gov' <<u>gary.laplante@maine.gov</u>>; Gretchen A. Anderson
<<u>gaanderson@windhammaine.us</u>>; Christopher S. Hanson <<u>cshanson@windhammaine.us</u>>
Subject: 18-35 Maine Corrections Center - Maintenance & Central Plant Building

Amanda & Owens,

Below are my additional comments regarding the final site plan submission for the project:

 A site work estimate was included for the project that is reasonable for the scope of work being proposed. An inspection escrow shall be provided for the project that is 3% of the estimated cost of the site work prior to the start of construction. It should be noted that for site plan projects, the cost of paving does not need to be included in the calculation of the construction observation escrow. I will coordinate with the owner to post the escrow amount. If I am reading it correctly the amount the 3% will be based upon is \$521,135 less \$47,500 (paving) or \$473,635.00. Applying 3% would be an inspection escrow amount of \$14,209.05. Let me know if that sounds correct and I can have MCC provide the fee.

- 2. Sheet 7 of 13 shows a 1,000 gallon temporary holding tank being installed in front of the building. Does this need to be permitted and a HHE-200 approved by the Town's CEO? At what stage of the project will the PVC sewer service caps be removed and tied into the public sewer system? We agree an HHE-200 for the holding tank will be needed for the CEO submittal. Our LSE will be preparing the documents and will be submitting to the CEO for approval prior to the start of construction. The holding tank will be a temporary condition for construction offices in the building until the sewer system planning is completed for the entire re-development. We would ask for a condition of approval that an HHE-200 be obtained from the CEO prior to occupancy and that the building be connected to the facility sewer system as part of the modernization project for the entire jail which is expected to be underway within a year.
- 3. Landscaping Waiver The narrative indicates that site landscaping will be complete in a future phase of the larger prison modifications. However, a landscaping plan is required for major site plan approval and a waiver should be provide with the justification that this will be addressed at a later time. We are requesting a waiver due to the location of the new building. The building will be located interior to the project site with forest lands to the west, existing on property site buildings to the east and north and that the building will be located approximately 500 feet from Malison Falls Road. As a result, landscaping for this building will have no practicable benefit. As part of the full facility permitting (to be submitted in the coming months) will include an integrated landscape plan tailored to the complete masterplan improvement project.
- 4. The Utility Plan (Sheet 8 of 13) shows a testable dual check valve backflow device. Has this been approved by PWD? Typically the District requires a testable RPZ backflow device for non-residential applications. As part of the design and planning process we have met with the PWD and provided them with our plans. The 12' by 20' building shown on sheet 8 of 13 will include a meter pit, testable backflow preventer valve and check valve for ease of access by the PWD. This was a requirement of the PWD as they prefer the meter and RPZ to be above grade in an enclosure. Notation is provided on the plan accordingly.
- 5. Pre & Post development stormwater plans and calculations were reviewed. In agreement with the DEP approval, the project meets the Town's Chapter 500 requirement for the Basic, General, and Flooding standards.
- The project is located within the Town's MS4 urbanized area and will be subject to post construction annual inspections. A note needs to be added to the approved plan indicating the post-construction inspection requirements (similar to the Women's Re-Entry Center project). Understood. We will add the note as requested.

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to further discuss.

Jon

Jon Earle, PE Town Engineer Town of Windham

Office: (207) 894-5900, ext. 6124 Cell: (207) 212-1802 www.windhammaine.us



D	EMOLITION NOTES
1.	PROTECT EXISTING BOUNDARY LINE MONUMENTATION. IF DISTURBED, EXISTING MONUMENTATION TO BE RESET BY A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR.
2.	DEMOLITION OF UTILITIES REQUIRING TREE REMOVAL SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE OWNER AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROJECT PLANS.
3.	UTILITY DEMOLITION SHALL BE COMPLETED IN COORDINATION WITH NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE EXISTING SURFACE DRAINAGE IS MAINTAINED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
4.	EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE TO REMAIN ACTIVE DURING CONSTRUCTION AND UPON COMPLETION OF PROJECT. DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL NOT INTERFERE OR IMPEDE EXISTING FLOWS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE BYPASS PUMPING AS REQUIRED DURING STORM DRAINAGE DEMOLITION AND NEW CONSTRUCTION. DAMAGE TO INFRASTRUCTURE SHALL BE REPAIRED BY CONTRACTOR AT THEIR EXPENSE.
5.	PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
6.	DEMOLITION AND RELOCATION OF UTILITIES WILL BE REQUIRED AS PART OF CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION. COORDINATE ALL DEMOLITION WORK WITH SITE AND BUILDING DRAWINGS.
Т	RAFFIC NOTES
1.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN TRAFFIC IN A SAFE MANNER AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE MOST CURRENT EDITION OF THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) MANUAL FOR BOTH WORK ZONE AND TRAFFIC CONTROL REQUIREMENTS SHALL APPLY.
2.	CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN SAFE AND PASSABLE DRIVEWAY ACCESS AT ALL TIMES. TEMPORARY INTERRUPTIONS MAY BE ALLOWED WITH PROPERTY OWNER AUTHORIZATION.
3.	CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INVENTORYING ALL SIGNS, MAILBOXES, STONE

	BUILDING SCHEDUL
A	GYM
В	SECURITY
С	CONTROL ADDIT
D	ADMINISTRA TIC
E	SECURITY EAS
F	BOILER ROOM
G	SCHOOL
Н	VOCA TIONAL
/	QUONSET
J	INDUSTRIES
K	DINNING / KITC
L	DORM 1
М	DORM 2 - TREAT
N	DORM 2
0	DORM 3
Ρ	DORM 4
Q	DORM 5
R	DORM 6
S	MPU
T	RECEIVING
U	WOMAN'S CENT
V	GREENHOUSE
W	POLE BARN
X	PIGGERY
Y	HOP HOUSE
Z	KENNEL