From: Will Haskell <whaskell@gorrillpalmer.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 7:26 AM

To: Amanda L. Lessard

Cc: Lisa Fisher; James Attianese; Owen Chaplin; Douglas Fortier; Dustin Roma

**Subject:** 3324.13 Windleigh Ridge - Peer Review Comments #2

Hi Amanda,

We reviewed the following materials that were downloaded from the Town.

Response to review comments, dated February 11, 2019, prepared by DM ROMA

We have the following comments on the response:

The Engineer Comments to our initial review letter have been reviewed and our additional comments are provided below.

- 1. Applicant responded that a revised Boundary Survey will be submitted. The Boundary survey has not been reviewed.
- 2. Monuments have been added to the subdivision plan. Revise the legend to show proposed monuments and proposed iron pins. Add additional iron pins to conform with the requirement that all boundary corners shall be marked.
- 3. Response acceptable given the lack of Lot Dimension requirements in the Town Ordinance.
- 4. Relative to the adequacy of the habitat map that was submitted versus a letter from MDIF&W, we recommend that the Town decide if the submitted map is acceptable.
- 5. Response Acceptable.
- 6. Response Acceptable.
- 7. Response Acceptable.
- 8. Response Acceptable.
- 9. Grading has been revised. Buffer below the proposed level lip spreader is designed with a 75' flow path. The flow path available on the Applicant's property appears to be 30' to 60' and does not meet the design length.
- 10. Response Acceptable.
- 11. Response Acceptable
- 12. Response Acceptable.
- 13. Response Acceptable.
- 14. Response Acceptable, see #9 above.
- 15. Response acceptable.
- 16. Response Acceptable

## **New Comment**

17. At the request of the Town, Gorrill Palmer made a site visit on 2/19/2019 to review the sight distance looking towards Windham Center Road (looking left when exiting the development) from the subdivision road. With the existing snowbanks it is not possible to accurately evaluate the sight distance, however, we do not believe that the sight distance looking left meets the 350' minimum requirement. We recommend that the Design Engineer reconfirm the sight distance of 412' that is shown on the plan looking left from the proposed road towards Windham Center Road.

## Thank you,

William C. Haskell | Principal



707 Sable Oaks Drive, Suite 30 | South Portland, ME 04106 207.772.2515 x235 (office) | 207.318.7052 (mobile)

www.gorrillpalmer.com

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.